

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,915	10/04/2000	Ira A. Kronenberg	KRONA01/00 7233 EXAMINER	
27988 75	90 07/20/2006			
JOSEPH T. REGARD, LTD PLC			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M	
PO DRAWER 4 MADISONVIL	129 LE, LA 70447-0429		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/678,915	KRONENBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, h	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/3	3/2006 and 5/15/2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the 8	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document and Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	∆	(DTO 442)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,915

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beard et al. '800 in view of Sedam et al. '292 and Varga et al. (US 2002/0161475A1).

Beard et al. disclose or inherently teach: a communication system between a vending machine, service delivery vehicle, and a central management location (see, for example, columns 1 and 2) utilizing transceivers that transmit vending machine data.

Beard et al. lack the specific teaching of the data being sales, cash, and identity data transmitted from the vending machine; the data being transmitted at "predetermined intervals", and the transmission utilizing monodirectional RF transmission only.

Sedam et al. '292 teach a sales and cash monitoring system for identified vending machines (See, for example, Col. 2, lines 44-58), whereby data is received at predetermined intervals (Col. 2, line 47-48), to provide for a more efficient scheduling of routes (See Col. 1, lines 61-62), and utilizing radio communications for transmitting/receiving data (See Col. 3, lines 40-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Beard et al. to have the data be sales, cash, and identity data transmitted from the vending machine and the data transmitted at "predetermined intervals", in view of Sedam et al., in order to "improve the efficiency of the use of manpower and equipment in vending supply operations" (See Sedam et al., Col. 1, lines 55-56).

Application/Control Number: 09/678,915 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Varga et al. teach that it is well known to: have one-way communication between a vending machine and remote processing center (See for example paragraph 0004 and 0018); the data transmission being RF transmission (See for example paragraph 0048).

It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Beard et al. to have one-way radio data transmission between the vending machine and the service center, in order "reduce cost" (See Varga et al., paragraph 0004).

Re claim 19, step (b): Beard et al. teaches collecting data, **processing data**, and updating data (See, for example, claim 2) which meets applicant's limitation of "abbreviated" data as "**processing data**" often entails eliminating unnecessary information.

Re claims 22, step (b): Beard et al. teaches collecting data, <u>processing data</u>, and updating data (See, for example, claim 2) which meets applicant's limitation of "filtered" data as "processing data" often entails eliminating unnecessary information.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (571) 272-6790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached at (571) 272-6771. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (571) 272-3600. The fax number is (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

F. Zeender Patent Examiner, A.U. 3627 July 18, 2006

FRYAN ZEENDER

7/18/06